

## UPLYME NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

### NOTES OF MEETING ON WEDNESDAY, 4TH SEPTEMBER 2013

#### AT THE OLD BLACK DOG, UPLYME

PRESENT: Peter Whiting (Chairman & Chairman of PC), John Garland (PC), David Sole, Glen Room (from 7.45 p.m.), Peter Dench, Pat Dench

APLOGIES: Terry Sweeney

Peter welcomed everyone to the meeting.

1. Notes of last meeting (26.07.13) were accepted after corrections – to note that Glen's surname is Broom, that David Sole was not expected to draft a time line, but had agreed that it was important to do so as soon as designation had been granted, that John Garland had agreed to be a liaison with parish groups and societies as and when appropriate and should be added to the bullet point list on the last page of the Notes.
2. Peter W. reported on the current position regarding Designation – the result was imminent. (*Since our meeting Designation has formally been granted*)
3. A planned meeting with LRDT, LRTC and Uplyme PC had been postponed by LRTC on the morning the meeting was due to take place. A new date has not been set to date.
4. Cerne Abbas Draft NP – some members of the group had been able to read and make some comments on this document, but it was suggested that **ALL should read and comment for next meeting. This would be an agenda item.** It was agreed that it would be helpful in our planning to read as many other NPs nearing completion/submitted. John mentioned Broadclyst.
5. NP Headings – it was felt that we would be in a better position to do this once we had looked at some good examples and decided our priorities for Uplyme.
6. Peter W. suggested that we should revisit the Uplyme Village Plan, trawl through it and decide what, if any, should be lifted into the NP. It was decided to return to this item at the end of the agenda.
7. It was agreed that consultation must be wide and cover adjoining parishes, all residents of all ages, groups and societies, the school and the church users. The process would be part of the time line and would likely involve consultation at various stages in the development of the Plan.
8. Correspondence - Peter W. had written to the Head of Mrs Ethelston's Primary School to explain the need to consult with the school for the NP. (letter in file). It was felt that it would be useful to know what the plans were for the new school in terms of numbers – members would come to next meeting with further information.
9. Development Boundary – Raymond's Hill – It was agreed to identify ownership of various pieces of land that abutted Devon and Dorset boundaries, e.g. the field between Hedgehog Corner and Hunters' Lodge PH. David raised the issue of designating Development 1 Boundaries – we should look at the advantages and disadvantages as part of the work of developing the NP. SSIs were also discussed and the Trinity Hill Forestry area as matters for the NP later.

## **Uplyme Village Plan (Item 6 revisited)**

At this point the group started to scan the UVP to consider what would be looked at in relation to the NP.

(a) It was agreed that the Hamlets would be one of the areas to look at again, e.g. Harcombe, Rocombe, St Marys, Woodhouse, Yawl and part of Raymonds Hill. All the residents in each of these hamlets would need to be consulted.

(b) To look at development in the area – ideas related to harnessing the water in the parish area

(c) Noting that the old shop site has now been sold with planning permission for two houses.

(d) Parking an issue to be addressed.

(e) David suggested that a register of premises with available space be created so that artists and small industry pioneers could access premises in their parish. These might be offered at low cost to the user, encouraging young people to develop businesses related to their ideas and talents.

(f) How should the old school building be used? The building date was 1874, it is not a listed building. It was felt that the Trustees would most probably want to achieve as much money as they could from the sale as they would need a large sum to cover the cost of the new school.

(g) “village square” - this was a matter of some contention during the building of the UVP. Glen suggested that the whole area – village hall, new school, new affordable housing – could be designed as an integrated whole.

(h) It was agreed that we should look at the site plan for the new school – Peter D. agreed to talk to one of the Trustees about this.

10. Any other business. There being no further issues the meeting closed with the agreement that the next meeting would take place on:

**Wednesday, 2<sup>nd</sup> October 2013 at The Old Black Dog, Uplyme at 7 p.m.**

The meeting closed at 21.30 with thanks to the Chairman.