

Minutes of Planning Committee Meeting held on Wednesday 25th February at 5 p.m. in the Village Hall Committee Room

Present: Cllrs Brown, Frost, Garland (Chairman), Ostler, Turner; one member of the public.

1. **Apologies** for absence – Cllr Mason was away at a funeral and Cllr Brown was unwell.
The meeting was adjourned for the open forum, to hear from the owner of “Larks Hey” (item 4(a)).
2. **Minutes** of the last Planning Committee meeting on 28th January were approved (proposed Cllr Garland, seconded Cllr Frost). They will be signed at the next meeting.
Matters arising: none.
3. **Declarations of interest:** Cllr Turner declared an “other” interest in item 4(a) as a neighbour, and took no part in the decision thereon.
4. The Planning Committee considered the following planning applications:
 - a. **15/1514/FUL Larks Hey Woodhouse Hill** Construction of replacement dwelling.
It was resolved to respond as follows:
“The Parish Council Planning Committee does not object to the application” (proposed Cllr Garland, seconded Cllr Frost).
 - b. **15/0162/FUL Wellhayes Harcombe** Conversion of outbuildings to holiday unit.
It was resolved unanimously to respond as follows:
“The Parish Council Planning Committee does not object to the application, subject to a condition that the building can only be used ancillary to the main house and not for occupation by the same person(s) for more than one month at a time” (proposed Cllr Ostler, seconded Cllr Frost).
 - c. **15/0161/TRE Uplyme House (South Of) Woodhouse Fields** T1 - T6: Oak - 20% Crown reduction. T7 - T9: Larch - Reduce to 10m high standing trunks.
The Parish Tree Warden had inspected the site and advised that the work appeared to be necessary for public safety on the Pinetum footpath. It was resolved unanimously to respond as follows:
“The Parish Council Planning Committee does not object to the application” (proposed Cllr Garland, seconded Cllr Frost).
5. **AOB: Enforcement:** Cllr Turner had asked the Clerk to find out about works in St Mary’s Lane. The enforcement officer had advised her that two cases were logged against Greenfields and Woodhill House, but having visited, most of the development was permitted. Although the brick wall was questionable, as it was on an unclassified road, no action would be taken.

Cllrs were disappointed that its comments and the Parish Plan Design Statement had been totally ignored in granting permission for a replacement house at “Hamacre”.

There being no other business, the meeting closed at 5.25p.m.

Signed:.....(Chairman) Date: