

Minutes of Planning Committee Meeting held on Wednesday 16th December at 4.45 p.m. in the Village Hall Committee Room.

Present: Cllrs Garland (Chairman), Mason, Ostler, Pratt.

1. **Apologies** for absence – Cllr Frost had sent her apologies as she was away, and Cllr Turner was absent on business. Cllr Kidson had been asked to substitute for Cllr Turner but was unwell.
2. **Minutes** of the last Planning Committee meeting on 25th November were approved (proposed Cllr Pratt, seconded Cllr Mason).
3. **Declarations of interest:** none.
4. The Planning Committee considered the following planning applications:
 - a) **15/2677/TRE 16 Barnes Meadow** T1, Sycamore: Remove the 11 lowest branches to give a clear stem up to 2.5m height. Reduce the overall growth on the largest primary stem by tp (*sic*) to 3m making target pruning cuts. T3, Sycamore: Fell to coppice.
The application had been resubmitted according to recommendations from the EDDC Tree Officer.
It was resolved unanimously to respond as follows:
“The Parish Council Planning Committee does not object to the application”
(proposed Cllr Pratt, seconded Cllr Mason).
 - b) **15/2698/TRE Greenways Gore Lane** T4, Ash: Remove the 3 lowest branches overhanging the path. Remove 2 low branches overhanging No 16. Remove the lowest primary branch growing to the SW of approximately 150mm diameter.
The application had been resubmitted according to recommendations from the EDDC Tree Officer.
It was resolved unanimously to respond as follows:
“The Parish Council Planning Committee does not object to the application”
(proposed Cllr Ostler, seconded Cllr Pratt).
 - c) **15/2315/FUL Honeysuckle Cottage Harcombe** Two storey rear extension (amended plans). The application was discussed at length, and neighbours’ objections taken into account.
It was resolved unanimously to respond as follows:
“The Parish Council Planning Committee does not object to the application, which is less obtrusive than the last proposal, and the applicant has obviously attempted to mitigate objections” (proposed Cllr Ostler, seconded Cllr Pratt).
 - d) **15/2745/FUL West End Lyme Road** Proposed dormer window and first floor window on east elevation.
It was resolved unanimously to respond as follows:
“The Parish Council Planning Committee does not object to the application”
(proposed Cllr Ostler, seconded Cllr Mason).

e) **15/2776/FUL Bridlepath Lodge Woodhouse Hill** Proposed extensions to dwelling

It was resolved unanimously to respond as follows:

“The Parish Council Planning Committee does not object to the application”

(proposed Cllr Pratt, seconded Cllr Mason).

- 5. **AOB:** Re the school planning application, highways exits are problematic, and D Cllr Thomas advises that no revised plans have been submitted although the Council was expecting these after the November 16th committee meeting. There will be a working meeting in the new year between School Trustees, Planning Committee, VH rep(?), with representation from Highways, EDDC Planning and D Cllr Thomas. This will discuss the school plans and their effect on the filling station/shop/PO and car parking. Meeting to include a walkabout at either school opening or closing times. No decision will be made by EDDC before that. Clerk has reiterated the Council’s concerns about plans and traffic to EDDC, and her email was read out.

There being no other business, the meeting closed at 5.50 p.m.

Signed:(Chairman) Date: